

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITÉD STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,633	07/03/2003	Eric M. Weaver	1828.023US2	4613	
21186	7590 06/29/200	5	EXAMINER		
SCHWEG	SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			KIM, YUNSOO	
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAP	938 DLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	22.0, 1.11.1 20.102		1644		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	WEAVER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yunsoo Kim	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the con	s action is non-final. ince except for formal ma	•	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18,20-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/3/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/18/06 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 9-18, 20 and 21 are pending.
- 3. Upon Applicant's amendments to the claims, the rejection under the 35 U.S.C. 102 (sections 4-5) set forth in the office action mailed 1/24/06 have been withdrawn. As the claims amended to recite "wherein the supplement is not provided...replacement", the reference of record does not teach that limitation.
- 4 Applicants' IDS filed 6/3/0 have been considered.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 10, 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 10 is a duplicate of claim 9.

Furthermore, phrase "the concentration of IgG" as in claim 15 does not have an antecedent basis in claim 14. Base claim 14 recites an immunoglobulin, not IgG. Further, the range of concentration recited in claim 15 "0.1%" broadens the range recited in base claim 14.

Art Unit: 1644

In addition, the claim 21, line 6, the "concentrate" should be "supplement" for a proper antecedent basis. The supplement is being administered to a pig through the water source.

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out this invention.

8. Claims 9-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a New Matter rejection.

The specification and the claims as originally filed do not provide a clear support for the phrase "wherein the supplement is not provided through the animal's feed sources or through a milk replacement" and applicant has not pointed out where the support comes from.

- 9 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 9-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,096,244 (of record) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,372,811, newly cited, U.S. Pat. No. 5,143,257, newly cited and the evidence disclosed in p. 10 of the specification.

The '244 patent teaches administration of blood-derived immunoglobulin in a supplement to a young piglet (col. 3-4, Example 1, in particular), the immunoglobulin is pooled from serum of swine or cattle (col. 3, lines 1-3, in particular) and decreases mortality (col. 6, table 1, % survival increased, in particular).

The '244 patent further teaches the immunoglobulin comprises at least 15% by weight and is water miscible and stable (col. 3, lines 54-55, e.g. in aqueous mixture, col. 4, lines 16-42, in particular). In addition, the '244 patent teaches the supplement further comprises additives for example, vitamin or mineral (col. 4, lines 15-36, col. 5, lines 38-44, in particular), in aqueous mixture (col. 4, lines, 16-42, in particular).

The '244 patent further teaches administration of 0.5g immunoglobulin/hd/day or more (1g to 3g, col. lines 39-43, in particular) to animal of at any stage of animal's life (e.g. from day one to 21st day, col. 5-6 under example 1, in particular) in an immunoglobulin concentration of about 0.75% in water (e.g. 15 parts of dried serum in 100 parts aqueous mix, 1/5th of the serum protein consists of immunoglobulin, and the IgG concentration would be less).

Claim 13 has been included in this rejection because the specification of the instant application on p. 10, 1st paragraph discloses young, post weaning piglets are underweighted. Thus, post weaning piglets have been considered underweight.

The '244 patent does not teach administering the immunoglobulin supplement via water source and the supplement is not provided through animal's feed sources or through a milk replacement as recited in claim 9 and the immunoglobulin supplement improves weight gain as recited in claim 9.

However, the '811 patent teaches the serum derived protein composes of albumin and immunoglobulin. The serum derived protein works to increase weight gain and feed efficiency of young pigs (col.2, lines 31-47, col. 3, lines 30-35, in particular). Thus, the referenced blood-derived immunoglobulin improves weight gain.

Art Unit: 1644

The '257 patent teaches the mixing of nutrients (e.g. water soluble supplement) in "drinking water" supply is common practice in livestock or farming industry to ensure good health at maturity. In this manner, the livestocks readily avoid common ailments that could cause premature death while dispensing in controlled dosages to the various animals to avoid potential adverse effects from overdosing. It is also essential for the commercial well being of the farmer as well. The liquid dispensing system in the farm industry is well known in the art (col. 1, lines 10-33, claims 1-13, in particular).

As the supplement may be mixed in "drinking water", it will not be provided via animal's feed sources or milk replacement.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to administer blood-derived immunoglobulin supplement to improve weight gain and growth as taught by the '811 patent while decreasing morbidity and mortality as taught by the '244 patent in drinking water as a direct water source as taught by the '257 patent.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do so because the '257 patent teaches that it is a common practice to mix nutrients in drinking water and provide as direct water source to various animals to avoid any potential adverse effects from overdosing while controlled dispensing (e.g. liquid dispenser) improves commercial well being of the farmers as well.

From the teachings of the references, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of the ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

11. No claims are allowable

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30 - 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

Art Unit: 1644

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

June 16, 2006

CHRISTINA CHAN

PEPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600